

ACADEMIC  
PRESS

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 271 (2002) 359–373

---

*Journal of*  
MATHEMATICAL  
ANALYSIS AND  
APPLICATIONS

---

[www.academicpress.com](http://www.academicpress.com)

# Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups and $W$ -type spaces<sup>☆</sup>

J.J. Betancor,<sup>\*</sup> J.D. Betancor, and J.M.R. Méndez*Departamento de Análisis Matemático, Universidad de La Laguna, 38271 La Laguna, Tenerife, Islas Canarias, Spain*

Received 2 July 2001

Submitted by J.A. Ball

---

## Abstract

The generalized Fourier transformation associated with Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups is investigated in some spaces of  $W$ -type introduced by Gelfand and Shilov. It is established that this transformation is an isomorphism from the space  $W_{M,a}$  onto the space  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$ , where the function  $M$  and the parameter  $a$  determine the growth of the testing functions in the first space, and  $M^\times$  denotes the Young dual function of  $M$ . The translation operator and the convolution corresponding to this transform are also studied in this class of spaces. © 2002 Elsevier Science (USA). All rights reserved.

**Keywords:** Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups;  $W$ -type spaces; Generalized Fourier transformation

---

## 1. Introduction

A hypergroup is a locally compact space on which the space of finite regular Borel measures has a convolution structure preserving the probability measures. Such a structure can arise in several ways in harmonic analysis. Main definitions and properties concerning hypergroups can be encountered in [7,12,15].

---

<sup>☆</sup> Partially supported by DGICYT Grant PB 97-1489 (Spain).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

*E-mail address:* jbetanco@ull.es (J.J. Betancor).

Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups are a class of one-dimensional hypergroups on  $(0, \infty)$  associated with certain Sturm–Liouville boundary value problems. We now collect some definitions and properties about these hypergroups that will be useful in the sequel.

Suppose that  $A$  is a continuous function on  $[0, \infty)$  that is twice continuously differentiable on  $(0, \infty)$  and that satisfies the following conditions:

- (i)  $A(0) = 0$  and  $A(x) > 0$ , for every  $x \in (0, \infty)$ ,
- (ii)  $A$  is increasing and unbounded,
- (iii)  $A(x)' / A(x) = (2\mu + 1)/x + B(x)$  on a neighbourhood of 0, where  $\mu > -1/2$ , and  $B$  is an odd and  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  function,
- (iv)  $A' / A \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$  is decreasing on  $(0, \infty)$  and, hence, the limit  $\rho := (1/2) \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (A(x)' / A(x))$  exists and  $\rho \geq 0$ .

Such a function, according to [3, Section 3.5], is called a Chébli–Trimèche function.

A hypergroup  $((0, \infty), \#)$  is a Chébli–Trimèche hypergroup when there exists a Chébli–Trimèche function  $A$  such that for any real-valued function  $f$  on  $(0, \infty)$  that is the restriction of an even nonnegative  $C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  function, the generalized translation  $u(x, y) = (\tau_x f)(y)$ ,  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$ , associated with  $((0, \infty), \#)$  is defined by

$$(\tau_x f)(y) = \int_0^\infty f(z) (\delta_x \# \delta_y) (dz), \quad x, y \in (0, \infty),$$

and coincides with the solution of the following Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta_x - \Delta_y)u(x, y) &= 0, \\ u(x, 0) &= f(x), \quad x \in (0, \infty), \\ u_y(x, 0) &= 0, \quad x \in (0, \infty), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\Delta$  represents the singular differential operator  $\Delta = -(1/A)DAD$ , with  $D = d/dx$ . Here, for each  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , by  $\delta_x$  we denote, as usual, the point mass measure concentrated in  $x$ . We will write  $((0, \infty), \#(A))$  to refer to the Chébli–Trimèche hypergroup associated with  $A$ .

Well known Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups are the following. If  $A(x) = x^{2\mu+1}$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , where  $\mu > -1/2$ , appears the Bessel–Kingman hypergroup [16]. The Jacobi hypergroup is the Chébli–Trimèche hypergroup associated with the function  $A(x) = \sinh^{2\alpha+1} x \cosh^{2\beta+1} x$ , with  $\alpha \geq \beta \geq -1/2$  and  $\alpha \neq -1/2$  [13].

The multiplicative functions on  $((0, \infty), \#(A))$  coincide with the solutions  $\varphi_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , of the initial value problem

$$\Delta_x \varphi_\lambda(x) = (\lambda^2 + \rho^2) \varphi_\lambda(x), \quad \varphi_\lambda(0) = 1, \quad \varphi'_\lambda(0) = 0.$$

The generalized Fourier  $\mathcal{F}_A$  associated with  $((0, \infty), \#(A))$  is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_A(f)(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) f(x) A(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty),$$

for every  $f$  in the Lebesgue space  $L^1((0, \infty), A(x) dx)$ . When we consider the Bessel–Kingman or the Jacobi hypergroup the generalized Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_A$  reduces to the Hankel transform or the Jacobi transform, respectively. A Paley–Wiener theorem for the  $\mathcal{F}_A$ -transformation was established in [6] and [17, Théorème 7.2]. More recently, Bloom and Xu [5] investigated the generalized Fourier transform on Schwartz type spaces.

As in [5, 18], here we assume that the Chébli–Trimèche function  $A$  satisfies the following additional property:

- (v) there exist  $\delta, R > 0$  such that, for every  $x \in (R, \infty)$ ,  $A'(x)/A(x) = 2\rho + e^{-\delta x} L(x)$ , when  $\rho > 0$ ; or  $A'(x)/A(x) = (2\alpha + 1)/x + e^{-\delta x} L(x)$ , if  $\rho = 0$ , where  $L$  stands for a smooth function on  $(0, \infty)$  such that  $(d^k/dx^k)L$  is bounded on  $(0, \infty)$ , for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ .

This property allows to obtain a nice behaviour near the origin and the infinity for the Harish-Chandra function that gives the inverse transformation of  $\mathcal{F}_A$  (see [4, 18], for instance).

Our objective in this paper is to study the generalized Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}_A$  and the  $\#(A)$ -convolution on the spaces of  $W$ -type considered by van Eijndhoven and Kerkhof [8].

Throughout this paper to simplify we will write  $\#$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  instead  $\#(A)$  and  $\mathcal{F}_A$ , respectively. By  $C$  we will denote a positive constant not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

## 2. Spaces of $W$ -type and the generalized Fourier transformation

In this section we study the behaviour of the generalized Fourier transformation on the spaces of  $W$ -type.

The spaces  $W$  were introduced by Gurevich [11]. Gelfand and Shilov [10] presented the main properties of the  $W$ -spaces and they also analyzed the behaviour of the Euclidean Fourier transformation on those spaces. In [8], van Eijndhoven and Kerkhof investigated the Hankel transform of the even functions in  $W$ .

We now recall the definitions of the  $W$ -type spaces introduced in [8].

By  $\mathcal{K}$  we will denote the set of functions constituted by all those functions  $M \in C^2[0, \infty)$  such that  $M(0) = M'(0) = 0$ ,  $M'(\infty) = \infty$  and  $M''(x) > 0$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ . If  $M \in \mathcal{K}$ ,  $M^\times$  represents the Young dual function of  $M$ . The main properties of the functions in  $\mathcal{K}$  can be encountered in [8] and [10, Chapter 1].

Assume that  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ .

The space  $W_{M,a}$  consists of all those even functions  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that, for every  $0 < \alpha < a$  and  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(\alpha x)} |D^l \phi(x)| < \infty.$$

$W_{M,a}$  is endowed with the topology generated by the family  $\{p_{n,l}\}_{n,l \in \mathbf{N}}$  of semi-norms, where

$$p_{n,l}(\phi) = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(a \frac{n}{n+1} x)} |D^l \phi(x)|, \quad \phi \in W_{M,a} \text{ and } n, l \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus  $W_{M,a}$  is a Fréchet space.

Bloom and Xu [5] introduced the space  $S_p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 2$ , as follows. An even function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  is in  $S_p$  if, and only if, for every  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\gamma_{m,n}^p(\phi) = \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} (1+x)^m \varphi_0(x)^{-2/p} |D^n \phi(x)| < \infty.$$

$S_p$  is a Fréchet space when it is topologised by the system  $\{\gamma_{m,n}^p\}_{m,n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of semi-norms.

Also in [5] the authors considered the space  $\mathcal{H}_p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 2$ , constituted of all those even functions  $\Phi$  defined in the strip  $G_p = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| \leq \rho(2/p - 1)\}$  that satisfy:

- (i)  $\Phi$  is holomorphic in  $G_p^0 = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}: |\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \rho(2/p - 1)\}$  and, for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , the function  $D^k \Phi(\lambda)$  can be continuously extended to  $G_p$ .
- (ii) For every  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\eta_{m,n}^p(\Phi) = \sup_{\lambda \in G_p} (1 + |\lambda|)^m |D^n \Phi(\lambda)| < \infty.$$

$\mathcal{H}_p$  is equipped with the topology generated by  $\{\eta_{m,n}^p\}_{m,n \in \mathbf{N}}$ . Thus,  $\mathcal{H}_p$  is a Fréchet space and the generalized Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism from  $S_p$  onto  $\mathcal{H}_p$  [5, Theorem 4.27].

The space  $S_p$  contains continuously  $W_{M,a}$ . Indeed, let  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, a)$ . According to [5, Lemma 3.4, (iii)] and [8, Lemma 2.4], we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-M(\alpha x)} (1+x)^m \varphi_0(x)^{-2/p} \\ \leq e^{-M(\alpha x) + 2\rho x/p} (1+x)^m \\ \leq e^{M \times (k/\alpha) - (k-2\rho/p)x} (1+x)^m \leq C, \quad x \in [0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  is chosen such that  $k > 2\rho/p$ .

Hence, by [5, Theorem 4.27], for every  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$ , we have that  $\mathcal{F}\phi \in \mathcal{H}_p$  and

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) (\mathcal{F}\phi)(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

The space  $W^{M,a}$  is constituted by all those even and entire functions  $\Phi$  such that, for every  $\beta > a$  and  $l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(\beta|\operatorname{Im} z|)} |z^{2l} \Phi(z)| < \infty.$$

$W^{M,a}$  becomes a Fréchet space when we consider on  $W^{M,a}$  the topology associated with the family  $\{q_{n,l}\}_{n,l \in \mathbf{N}}$  of seminorms, where, for every  $n, l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$q_{n,l}(\Phi) = \sup_{z \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(a\frac{n+2}{n+1}|\operatorname{Im} z|)} |z^{2l} \Phi(z)|, \quad \Phi \in W^{M,a}.$$

The space  $W^{M,a}$  is continuously contained in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 2$ . Indeed, let  $0 < p \leq 2$  and  $\Phi \in W^{M,a}$ . Since  $\Phi$  is entire, we can write, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \Phi(\lambda) = \frac{n!}{2\pi i} \int_{C_\lambda} \frac{\Phi(w)}{(w-\lambda)^{n+1}} dw, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C},$$

where  $C_\lambda$  represents the circle centred in  $\lambda$  given by the parametrization  $w = \lambda + e^{it}$ ,  $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ , for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ . Hence, for each  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$\sup_{\lambda \in G_p} (1 + |\lambda|)^m \left| \frac{d^n}{d\lambda^n} \Phi(\lambda) \right| \leq C \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(2a|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} (1 + |\lambda|)^m |\Phi(\lambda)|.$$

Then  $\Phi \in \mathcal{H}_p$ . Also the last inequality proves that  $W^{M,a}$  is continuously contained in  $\mathcal{H}_p$ .

By [5, Theorem 4.27], for every  $\Phi \in W^{M,a}$ , the function  $\phi$  defined by

$$\phi(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

is in  $\mathcal{S}_p$ ,  $0 < p \leq 2$ , and  $\mathcal{F}\phi = \Phi$ .

The  $S$ -type spaces introduced by Gelfand and Shilov [9] reduce to  $W$  spaces in some cases.

If  $\alpha > 0$  and  $A > 0$ , the space  $S_{\alpha,A}$  is constituted by all those even functions  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  verifying

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}, k \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{|x^k D^m \phi(x)|}{(A + \delta)^k k^{k\alpha}} < \infty,$$

for every  $\delta > 0$  and  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ .

$S_{\alpha,A}$  coincides with the space  $W_{M,a}$ , when  $a = 1/(Ae^\alpha)$  and  $M(x) = \alpha x^{1/\alpha}$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , provided that  $0 < \alpha < 1$  [9, p. 172].

For each  $\beta > 0$  and  $B > 0$ ,  $S^{\beta,B}$  consists of all those even functions  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  such that, for every  $\xi > 0$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbf{R}, m \in \mathbf{N}} \frac{|x^k D^m \phi(x)|}{(B + \xi)^m m^{m\beta}} < \infty.$$

When  $M(x) = (1 - \beta)x^{1/(1-\beta)}$ ,  $x > 0$ , and  $0 < \beta < 1$ , the space  $W^{M,a}$  reduces to  $S^{\beta,ae^{-\beta}}$  [9, p. 210].

We now analyze the generalized Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}$  on the spaces  $W$ .

Previously we need to present a property of the function  $\varphi_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *For every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  there exists  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\left| \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \lambda^n} \varphi_\lambda(x) \right| \leq C(1+x)^{n+1} e^{(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| - \rho)x}, \quad x \in [0, \infty) \text{ and } \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

**Proof.** This property can be proved as [5, Lemma 3.4, (iv)] although there the inequality was established for  $|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| < \rho$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . Then the generalized Fourier transformation defines a continuous mapping from  $W_{M,a}$  into  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$  and define  $\Phi = \mathcal{F}\phi$ . To see that  $\Phi$  is a holomorphic function on the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ , it is sufficient to note that, by Lemma 2.1 and [5, (3.5)], there exists  $\beta > 0$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \varphi_\lambda(x) \right| |\phi(x)| A(x) dx \\ & \leq C \int_0^\infty (1+x)^\beta e^{(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| + \rho)x} |\phi(x)| dx \leq C \int_0^\infty (1+x)^\beta e^{M(ax/4)} |\phi(x)| dx \\ & \leq C \int_0^\infty (1+x)^\beta e^{-M(ax/2)} dx \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(ax/2)} |\phi(x)|. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the last integral is finite.

Let  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . Since  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}_p$ , for every  $0 < p \leq 2$ , one has

$$\lambda^{2n} \Phi(\lambda) = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-\rho^2)^{n-j} \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \Delta^j \phi(x) A(x) dx, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}. \quad (2.1)$$

Let  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . By invoking [5, Lemma 4.18, (ii)], there exist  $\delta > 0$  and  $s_j \in \mathbf{N}$  such that for every  $x \in (0, \delta)$  we can find  $\chi_l \in (0, x)$ ,  $l = 1, 2, \dots, s_j$ , for which

$$|\Delta^j \phi(x)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{s_j} |D^i \phi(\chi_l)| + |D^i \phi(x)| \right).$$

Hence, according to Lemma 2.1, it follows, for every  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_0^\delta |\varphi_\lambda(x) \Delta^j \phi(x)| A(x) dx \\
& \leq C \int_0^\delta e^{(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| - \rho)x} (1+x) A(x) \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \left( \sum_{l=0}^{s_j} |D^i \phi(\chi_l)| + |D^i \phi(x)| \right) dx \\
& \leq C e^{M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(\frac{\alpha}{a} x)} |D^i \phi(x)|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}. \tag{2.2}
\end{aligned}$$

Here  $C$  is not depending on  $\phi$ .

Moreover, by invoking [5, Lemma 4.18, (iii), and (3.5)] we are led to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_\delta^\infty |\varphi_\lambda(x) \Delta^j \phi(x)| A(x) dx \\
& \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \int_\delta^\infty e^{(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| + \rho)x} (1+x)^\beta |D^i \phi(x)| dx \\
& \leq C e^{M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \int_0^\infty e^{M(\frac{\alpha}{a} x)} e^{M(\frac{\alpha-1}{4\alpha} ax)} (1+x)^\beta |D^i \phi(x)| dx \\
& \leq C e^{M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \sum_{i=1}^{2j} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} ax)} |D^i \phi(x)|, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \tag{2.3}
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $\alpha > 1$  and some  $\beta > 0$ .

By combining (2.1)–(2.3) we conclude that if  $\alpha > 1$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $C > 0$  for which

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2n} \Phi(\lambda)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2n} \sup_{x \in [0, \infty)} e^{M(\frac{1+\alpha}{2\alpha} ax)} |D^i \phi(x)|.$$

Thus we have proved that the generalized Fourier transformation is continuous from  $W_{M,a}$  into  $W^{M \times, 1/a}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . Then the mapping  $\mathcal{G}$  defined by*

$$\Phi \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(\Phi)(x) = \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda) \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2}, \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

*is continuous from  $W^{M,a}$  into  $W^{M \times, 1/a}$ .*

**Proof.** To prove this proposition we will adapt an argument of Anker [1]. Let  $\Phi \in W^{M,a}$  and define  $\phi = \mathcal{G}\Phi$ . Also we consider  $\psi = \mathcal{F}_0^{-1}\Phi$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  represents the Euclidean Fourier transformation on  $\mathbf{R}$ , that is,  $\psi(x) = (1/2\pi) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixy} \Phi(y) dy$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Let  $l \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ . According to [5, Lemma 3.6, (ii)], we can write

$$|D^l \phi(x)| \leq C(1+x)^3 e^{-\rho x} \int_0^\infty |\lambda^2 + \rho^2|^m |\Phi(\lambda)| \frac{d\lambda}{|c(\lambda)|^2}, \quad x \in (0, \infty),$$

for a certain  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ . Moreover, by [18, p. 99], it deduces that

$$\begin{aligned} |D^l \phi(x)| &\leq C(1+x)^3 e^{-\rho x} \int_0^\infty |\lambda^2 + \rho^2|^m |\Phi(\lambda)| \lambda^{2\mu+1} d\lambda \\ &\leq C(1+x)^3 e^{-\rho x} \left( \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi(\lambda)| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\Phi(\lambda)| \right), \end{aligned}$$

where  $s \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $s > m + \mu + 1$  and  $\beta > 1$ . The number  $\beta$  will be specified later.

Hence, it follows that, for every  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $C_j > 0$  for which

$$\begin{aligned} &\sup_{x \in (0, j+1]} e^{M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} x)} |D^l \phi(x)| \\ &\leq C_j \left( \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi(\lambda)| + \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\Phi(\lambda)| \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We now choose a sequence  $\{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbf{N}}$  of functions such that

- (i)  $w_j \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  is even, for every  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,
- (ii)  $w_j(x) = 1$ ,  $|x| \leq j$ , and  $w_j(x) = 0$ ,  $|x| \geq j+1$ , for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ ,
- (iii) for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $C_k > 0$  for which  $|D^k w_j(x)| \leq C_k$ ,  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

We consider the following decomposition of  $\psi$ ,

$$\psi = w_j \psi + (1 - w_j) \psi,$$

for every  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . We define, for each  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ , the functions  $\psi_j$ ,  $\phi_j$  and  $\Phi_j$  as

$$\psi_j = (1 - w_j) \psi, \quad \Phi_j = \mathcal{F}_0 \psi_j \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_j = \mathcal{G} \Phi_j.$$

Note that  $w_j \psi = 0$ , outside  $[-j-1, j+1]$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ . Hence, according to [5, Lemma 4.11], since  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \cdot \mathcal{A}$ , where  $\mathcal{A}$  denotes the Abel transformation defined in [5, (4.9)], we infer that  $\phi = \phi_j$ , outside  $[-j-1, j+1]$ ,  $j \in \mathbf{N}$ .

Let  $j \in \mathbf{N} \setminus \{0\}$ . By proceeding as above we can obtain



$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{j+1 \leq x \leq j+2} e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha}{a}x)} |D^l \phi(x)| \\
& \leq C(j+2)^3 e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha}{a}(j+2)) - \rho j} \left( \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda)| \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\Phi(\lambda)| \right). \tag{2.5}
\end{aligned}$$

By invoking well known operational rules of the Euclidean Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}_0$  we have

$$\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-it\lambda} D^{2s} \psi_j(t) dt, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Assume that  $\delta > 1$  such that  $\alpha\delta < 1$ . According to [9, p. 20, Theorem 1], we get

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda)| \leq C \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{M^\times(\frac{\gamma}{a}t)} |D^{2s} \psi_j(t)|,$$

where  $0 < \gamma < 1$  is depending on  $\beta$ . By studying the proof of [9, p. 10, Theorem 1] we can see that  $\beta$  can be chosen such that  $\alpha\delta + \gamma < 1$ .

Then the properties of  $\{w_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$  lead to

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda)| \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sup_{t \geq j} e^{M^\times(\frac{\gamma}{a}t)} |D^l \psi(t)|.$$

Hence, since the function  $M^\times$  is increasing,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (j+2)^3 e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha}{a}(j+2)) - \rho j} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda)| \\
& \leq (j+2)^3 e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha\delta}{a}j) - \rho j} \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\beta a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2s} \Phi_j(\lambda)| \\
& \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sup_{t \geq j} t^3 e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha\delta}{a}t) + M^\times(\frac{\gamma}{a}t) - \rho t} |D^l \psi(t)| \\
& \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{2s} \sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha\delta + \gamma}{a}t)} |D^l \psi(t)|, \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

provided that  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  is large enough.

Now, by [9, p. 11, Theorem 2] we conclude that

$$\sup_{t \in (0, \infty)} e^{M^\times(\frac{\alpha\delta + \gamma}{a}t)} |D^l \psi(t)| \leq C \sum_{k=0}^p \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(\varepsilon a |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^k \Phi(\lambda)|, \tag{2.7}$$

for every  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2s$  and a certain  $\varepsilon > 1$  and  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ .

From (2.4)–(2.7) it is inferred the desired continuity of  $\mathcal{G}$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorems 2.2 and 2.3, in line with [5, Theorem 4.27], we can establish the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . Then the generalized Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}$  is an isomorphism from  $W_{M,a}$  onto  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$ .*

**Corollary 2.5.** *If  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and  $A > 0$ , the generalized Fourier transformation is an isomorphism from  $S_{\alpha,A}$  onto  $S^{\alpha,A}$ .*

**Proof.** It is an immediate consequence of Corollary 2.4.  $\square$

In [2] Betancor and Rodríguez-Mesa, inspired by the studies of Pathak and Upadhyay [14], obtained new characterizations of the spaces of  $W$ -type in terms of the Bessel operator. Now we establish a characterization of the space  $W_{M,a}$  that involves the operator  $\Delta$ .

Let  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . We will say that an even function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  is in  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$  if, and only if,  $e^{\rho x}(1+x)^{1+\beta} \Delta^m \phi(x) \rightarrow 0$ , as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , for every  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\beta$  is given as in [5, (3.5)], that is such that  $A(x) \leq A(1)x^\beta e^{2\rho x}$ , when  $x$  is large, and

$$\alpha_{m,n}^{q,\Delta}(\phi) = \|e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}x)} \Delta^m \phi(x)\|_q < \infty,$$

for every  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , where  $\|\cdot\|_q$  represents the usual norm in the Lebesgue space  $L_q(0, \infty)$ . On  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$  we consider the topology generated by the family  $\{\alpha_{m,n}^{q,\Delta}\}_{m,n \in \mathbf{N}}$  of seminorms.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . For every  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ ,  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta} = W_{M,a}$ , where the equality is algebraic and topological.*

**Proof.** Let  $1 \leq q \leq \infty$ . Assume that  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$  and  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ . According to [5, Lemma 4.18] we can write, for certain  $\delta, C > 0$  that do not depend on  $\phi$ ,

$$e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}x)} |\Delta^m \phi(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{2m} e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}x)} |D^j \phi(x)|, \quad x \in (\delta, \infty),$$

and

$$e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}x)} |\Delta^m \phi(x)| \leq C \sum_{i=1}^{2m} \left( \sum_{j=1}^{s_m} e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}\chi_j)} |D^i \phi(\chi_j)| + e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}x)} |D^i \phi(x)| \right), \quad x \in (0, \delta),$$

for certain  $s_m \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\chi_j = \chi_j(x, m) \in [0, x]$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots, s_m$ .

Hence we deduce that

$$\alpha_{m,n}^{q,\Delta}(\phi) \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{2m} p_{n+1,j}(\phi).$$

Moreover, by proceeding as in the proof of [5, Lemma 4.18, (iii)] we can see that

$$|D\Delta^m \phi(x)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^{2m+1} |D^j \phi(x)|, \quad x \in (\delta, \infty). \quad (2.8)$$

Then by [8, Lemma 2.4] and (2.8), we obtain

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} e^{\rho x} (1+x)^{1+\beta} D\Delta^m \phi(x) = 0.$$

Thus, we prove that  $W_{M,a}$  is continuously contained in  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$ .

Assume now that  $\phi \in W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$  and define  $\Phi = \mathcal{F}\phi$ . Partial integration leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \Phi(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \Delta_x(\varphi_\lambda(x)) \phi(x) A(x) dx - \rho^2 \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \phi(x) A(x) dx \\ &= \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \Delta(\phi(x)) A(x) dx - \rho^2 \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \phi(x) A(x) dx, \\ \lambda &\in (0, \infty). \end{aligned}$$

We have taken into account that, since  $\phi \in W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} D(\varphi_\lambda(x)) \phi(x) A(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \varphi_\lambda(x) D(\phi(x)) A(x) = 0, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty),$$

and

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} D(\varphi_\lambda(x)) \phi(x) A(x) = \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_\lambda(x) (D\phi(x)) A(x) = 0, \quad \lambda \in (0, \infty).$$

By iterating this argument we can obtain that, for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{2n} \Phi(\lambda) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (-\rho^2)^{n-j} \int_0^\infty \varphi_\lambda(x) \Delta^j(\phi(x)) A(x) dx, \\ \lambda &\in (0, \infty). \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Moreover, by proceeding as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 we can see that each the two sides of (2.9) defines a holomorphic function. Hence, the equality in (2.9) holds for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ .

From (2.9), if  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $\alpha > 1$ , for a certain  $C > 0$  we conclude that

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \mathbf{C}} e^{-M \times (\frac{\alpha}{a} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2n} \Phi(\lambda)| \leq C \sum_{j=1}^n \left\| e^{M(\frac{1+\alpha}{2a} ax)} \Delta^j \phi(x) \right\|_q.$$

Then, it is established that the generalized Fourier transformation  $\mathcal{F}$  maps continuously  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$  into  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$ .

Finally, by Theorem 2.3 and [5, Theorem 4.27] we deduce that  $W_{M,a}^{q,\Delta}$  is continuously contained in  $W_{M,a}$ .

The proof is now complete.  $\square$

Note that the last proposition is an extension of the result achieved in [2, Theorem 2.1].

### 3. The #-convolution on $W_{M,a}$ and its dual

In this section we study the #-convolution on  $W_{M,a}$  and its dual space  $W'_{M,a}$ .

We start analyzing the behaviour of the translation operator  $\tau_x$ ,  $x \in (0, \infty)$ , on  $W_{M,a}$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . The translation operator  $\tau_x$  defines a continuous linear mapping from  $W_{M,a}$  into itself, for every  $x \in (0, \infty)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$ . Since, by [5, Theorem 2.4, (i)], we can set

$$(\tau_x \phi)(y) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\varphi_\lambda(x) \mathcal{F}(\phi)(\lambda))(y), \quad y \in (0, \infty),$$

according to Corollary 2.4, the proof will be finished when we prove that the mapping defined by

$$\Phi \rightarrow \varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda)$$

is continuous from  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$  into itself.

Let  $\Phi \in W^{M^\times, 1/a}$ . Since  $\varphi_\lambda(x)$  is an even and entire function,  $\varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda)$  is also even and entire. Moreover, by [5, Lemma 3.4], for every  $m, n \in \mathbf{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+2}{n+1}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)} \left| \lambda^{2m} \varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x) e^{(|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|-\rho)x - M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+2}{n+1}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)} \left| \lambda^{2m} \Phi(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x) e^{-\rho x} e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|x - M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+3}{n+2}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|) + M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+3}{n+2}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|) - M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+2}{n+1}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)} \\ & \quad \times \left| \lambda^{2m} \Phi(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x) e^{-\rho x} e^{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|x - M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|}{n^2+3n+2})} e^{-M^\times(\frac{1}{a}\frac{n+3}{n+2}|\operatorname{Im}\lambda|)} \left| \lambda^{2m} \Phi(\lambda) \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x) e^{-\rho x + M(xa(n^2+3n+2))} q_{n+1,m}(\Phi), \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we get

$$q_{n,m}(\varphi_\lambda(x) \Phi(\lambda)) \leq C(1+x) e^{-\rho x + M(xa(n^2+3n+2))} q_{n+1,m}(\Phi), \quad m, n \in \mathbf{N}.$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

We now study the  $\#$ -convolution on the spaces  $W_{M,a}$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a, b > 0$ . The mapping defined by  $(\phi, \psi) \rightarrow \phi \# \psi$  is bilinear and continuous from  $W_{M,a} \times W_{M,b}$  into  $W_{M,c}$ , provided that  $c = ab/(a+b)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$  and  $\psi \in W_{M,b}$ . By resorting to [5, Theorem 2.4, (ii)], one has

$$\mathcal{F}(\phi \# \psi) = \mathcal{F}(\phi) \mathcal{F}(\psi).$$

Hence, by Corollary 2.4, the proof will finish when we prove that the mapping defined by  $(\Phi, \Psi) \rightarrow \Phi \Psi$  is continuous from  $W^{M,a} \times W^{M,b} \rightarrow W^{M,a+b}$ . Let  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can write, for every  $\Phi \in W^{M,a}$  and  $\Psi \in W^{M,b}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} q_{m,n}(\Phi \Psi) &= \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M((a+b)\frac{m+2}{m+1}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2m} \Phi(\lambda) \Psi(\lambda)| \\ &\leq \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(a\frac{m+2}{m+1}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\lambda^{2n} \Phi(\lambda)| \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}} e^{-M(b\frac{m+2}{m+1}|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} |\Psi(\lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the proof is complete.  $\square$

Proposition 3.1 allows us to define the  $\#$ -convolution  $T \# \phi$  of  $T \in W'_{M,a}$  and  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$  as

$$(T \# \phi)(x) = \langle T, \tau_x \phi \rangle, \quad x \in \mathbf{R}.$$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $M \in \mathcal{K}$  and  $a > 0$ . If*

$$T \in W'_{M,a} \quad \text{and} \quad \phi \in W_{M,a},$$

*then  $T \# \phi \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$  and, for every  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ , there exists  $m \in \mathbf{N}$  such*

$$|D^k(T \# \phi)(x)| \leq C e^{M(mx)}, \quad x \in [0, \infty).$$

**Proof.** Let  $T \in W'_{M,a}$  and  $\phi \in W_{M,a}$ . According to Proposition 2.6 and by using Hahn–Banach theorem, duality arguments lead to write

$$\langle T, \psi \rangle = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_0^\infty e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}y)} \Delta^j \psi(y) f_j(y) dy, \quad \psi \in W_{M,a},$$

for certain  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $f_j \in L_\infty(0, \infty)$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

In particular, since  $\Delta(\tau_x \psi)(y) = \tau_x(\Delta \psi)(y)$ , for every  $x, y \in (0, \infty)$  and  $\psi \in S_p$ , we have

$$(T \# \phi)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^n \int_0^\infty e^{M(a\frac{n}{n+1}y)} \tau_x(\Delta^j \phi)(y) f_j(y) dy, \quad x \in (0, \infty).$$

It is clear that  $T \# \phi$  is an even function.

The mapping defined by

$$\Phi \rightarrow \frac{d^k}{dx^k}(\varphi_\lambda(x)\Phi(\lambda))$$

is continuous from  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$  into itself, for every  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . Indeed, let  $x \in (0, \infty)$  and  $k \in \mathbf{N}$ . By virtue of [5, Lemma 3.6, (ii)] we have, for a certain  $m \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \varphi_\lambda(x) \right| \leq C(1+x)^3 (|\lambda|^2 + \rho^2)^m e^{(|\operatorname{Im} \lambda| - \rho)x}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbf{C}.$$

Hence, if  $s, l \in \mathbf{N}$ , then, for every  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & e^{-M^\times (\frac{1}{a} \frac{s+2}{s+1} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \left| \lambda^{2l} \Phi(\lambda) \frac{d^k}{dx^k} \varphi_\lambda(x) \right| \\ & \leq C(1+x)^3 e^{|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|x - M^\times (\frac{1}{a} \frac{s+2}{s+1} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|) - \rho x} (|\lambda|^2 + \rho^2)^m |\lambda^{2l} \Phi(\lambda)| \\ & \leq C(1+x)^3 e^{-\rho x + M(ax(s^2+3s+2))} e^{-M^\times (\frac{1}{a} \frac{s+3}{s+2} |\operatorname{Im} \lambda|)} \\ & \quad \times (|\lambda|^2 + \rho^2)^m |\lambda^{2l} \Phi(\lambda)|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We conclude that, for every  $s, l \in \mathbf{N}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & q_{s,l} \left( \frac{d^k}{dx^k}(\varphi_\lambda(x)\Phi(\lambda)) \right) \\ & \leq C(1+x)^3 e^{-\rho x + M(ax(s^2+3s+2))} \sum_{j=0}^m q_{s+1, l+j}(\Phi). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove that the mapping defined by

$$\Phi \rightarrow \frac{d^k}{dx^k}(\varphi_\lambda(x)\Phi(\lambda))$$

is continuous from  $W^{M^\times, 1/a}$  into itself, and, moreover, in agreement with Corollary 2.4, by (3.1) and by taking into account that  $M \in \mathcal{K}$ , the desired result is established.  $\square$

## References

- [1] J.P. Anker, The spherical Fourier transform of rapidly decreasing functions. A simple proof of a characterization due to Harish-Chandra, Helgason, Trombi and Varadarajan, J. Funct. Anal. 96 (1991) 331–349.
- [2] J.J. Betancor, L. Rodríguez-Mesa, Characterizations of  $W$ -type spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 126 (1998) 1371–1379.
- [3] W.R. Bloom, H. Heyer, Harmonic Analysis of Probability Measures on Hypergroups, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1995.

- [4] W. Bloom, Z. Xu, The Hardy–Littlewood maximal function for Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups, in: Applications of Hypergroups and Related Measures Algebras (Joint Summer Research Conference (AMS-IMS-SIAM), Seattle, 1993), Contemporary Mathematics, Vol. 183, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1995, pp. 45–70.
- [5] W.R. Bloom, Z. Xu, Fourier transforms of Schwartz functions on Chébli–Trimèche hypergroups, *Mh. Math.* 125 (1998) 89–109.
- [6] H. Chébli, Sur un théorème de Paley–Wiener associé à la décomposition spectrale d’un opérateur de Sturm–Liouville sur  $(0, \infty)$ , *J. Funct. Anal.* 17 (1974) 447–461.
- [7] C.F. Dunkl, The measure algebra of a locally compact hypergroup, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 179 (1973) 331–348.
- [8] S.J.L. van Eijndhoven, M.J. Kerkhof, The Hankel transformation and spaces of type  $W$ , *Rep. Appl. Numer. Anal.* 10, Department of Math. and Comput. Sci., Eindhoven University of Technology (1988).
- [9] I.M. Gelfand, G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions 2: Spaces of Fundamental and Generalized Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [10] I.M. Gelfand, G.E. Shilov, Generalized Functions 3: Theory of Differential Equations, Academic Press, New York, 1968.
- [11] B.L. Gurevich, Nouveaux espaces de fonctions fondamentales et généralisées et le problème de Cauchy pour des systèmes d’équations aux différences finis, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 99 (1954) 893–896.
- [12] R.I. Jewett, Spaces with an abstract convolution of measure, *Adv. Math.* 18 (1975) 1–101.
- [13] T. Koornwinder, A new proof of a Paley–Wiener type theorem for Jacobi transform, *Ark. Mat.* 13 (1975) 145–159.
- [14] R.S. Pathak, S.K. Upadhyay,  $W^p$ -spaces and Fourier transforms, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 21 (1994) 733–738.
- [15] R.S. Spector, Aperçu de la théorie des hypergroups, in: *Analyse Harmonique sur les Groupes de Lie* (Sém. Nancy–Strasbourg, 1973–1975), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 497, Springer, Berlin, 1975, pp. 643–673.
- [16] A.L. Schwartz, The structure of the algebra of Hankel transforms and the algebra of Hankel–Stieltjes transforms, *Canad. J. Math.* XXIII (1971) 236–246.
- [17] K. Trimèche, Transformation intégrale de Weyl et théorème de Paley–Wiener associés à un opérateur différentiel singulier sur  $(0, \infty)$ , *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 60 (1981) 51–98.
- [18] K. Trimèche, Inversion of the J.L. Lions transmutation operators using generalized wavelets, *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* 4 (1997) 97–112.